

1 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
2 DISTRICT OF NEVADA

3 * * *

4 GRANT SAXENA,

5 Plaintiff,

6 v.

7 JEZRAEL MARTINEZ-HERNANDEZ, *et al.*,

8 Defendants.
9

Case No. 2:22-cv-02126-CDS-BNW

ORDER

10 Before the Court is a document entitled “Answer and Motion to Stay Magistrate Second
11 Order to Show Cause.” ECF No. 59.

12 The body of Plaintiff’s motion deals with a request to extend the date for him to submit
13 his answers to the Courts’ orders to show cause. Plaintiff’s need for an extension is based on the
14 need to gather the phone records the Court ordered. Plaintiff has provided good cause for the
15 Court to extend the deadline for the submission of the phone records—but there is no good cause
16 to extend the deadline for him to provide substantive answers to the two orders to show cause and
17 submit the remaining documents the Court requested. Thus, Plaintiff is to submit his answers
18 (except for the phone records) by February 9, 2024. The phone records will be due February 14,
19 2024.

20 It is worth noting that the bulk of Plaintiff’s motion deals with issues that are not relevant
21 to the Court’s orders to show cause. To the extent this is not clear to Plaintiff, the reason this
22 Court issued the orders to show cause is because Plaintiff has disobeyed Court orders designed
23 for Plaintiff to have a Rule 26(f) conference with Defendants. As a result, the Court cautions
24 Plaintiff that his answers to the Court’s orders to show cause should be responsive to the issue at
25 hand—and not deal with immaterial matters.

26 Lastly, no legal or factual basis has been provided to stay the orders to show cause. As a
27 result, that request is denied.
28

1 IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED that Plaintiff's motion to extend deadlines at ECF No. 59
2 is GRANTED in part and DENIED in part.

3 DATED: February 4, 2024.

4 

5 BREND A WEKSLER
6 UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28